

FILED
SAN MATEO COUNTY

MAR 22 2004

Clerk of the Superior Court
By Mary Smith
DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SCOTT LEE PETERSON,

Defendant.

Case No. SC 55500

**NOTICE-- RULE 2073.5 OF THE
CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, PURSUANT TO RULE 2073.5 OF THE CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT, that the Presiding Judge of this Court is considering a request to provide remote electronic access to all or a portion of the public court records in the above entitled case, on the grounds that (1) the number of requests for access to documents in the case is extraordinarily high, and (2) responding to those requests would significantly burden the operations of the court.

In making its determination, the court will consider relevant factors, such as: (1) The impact on the privacy of parties, victims, and witnesses; (2) The benefits to and burdens on the parties in allowing remote electronic access, including possible impacts on jury selection; and (3) The benefits to and burdens on the court and court staff.

1 Pursuant to subd. (d) of Rule 2073.5, five days notice is hereby provided to the parties and the
2 public before the court makes a determination to provide remote electronic access under this rule. The
3 determination will be made on or after March 22, 2004.

4 A copy of this notice shall be given to the parties, through their counsel, and shall be posted on
5 the court Web site. Any person may file comments with the court for consideration, but no hearing is
6 required or scheduled to take place.

7
8 Please take notice that in the event remote electronic access is provided, the Court does not have
9 the resources, and therefore determines that it is not feasible for the Court to redact driver license
10 numbers; dates of birth; social security numbers; Criminal Identification and Information and National
11 Crime Information numbers; addresses, and phone numbers of parties, victims, witnesses, and court
12 personnel; medical and psychiatric information; financial information; account numbers; and other
13 personal identifying information. Therefore, in the event remote electronic access is provided, the trial
14 judge may make a separate order requiring any party who files a document containing such information
15 to provide the court with both an original unredacted version of the document for filing in the court file
16 and a redacted version of the document for remote electronic access.

17 In the event the court determines that it will provide remote electronic access, no juror names or
18 other juror identifying information will be provided by remote electronic access.

19
20 Dated: 3-22-04

Mark R. Forcum
PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT